## Case3:11-cv-01253-EMC Document110 Filed04/30/12 Page1 of 2 Ronald Wilcox, Esq., State Bar No. 176601 1 1900 The Alameda, Suite 530 San Jose, CA 95126 Tel: (408) 296-0400 Fax: (408) 296-0486 3 ronaldwilcox@post.harvard.edu 4 Paul Nathan, Esq., Bar No. 262697 The Law Offices of Paul Nathan, P.C. 540 Pacific Ave., San Francisco, CA 6 Tel: (415) 341-1144 7 paulnathan@nathanlawoffices.com 8 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 12 DONNA GARCIA, CIV. NO. 11-1253 EMC 13 Plaintiff, MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING AN ORDER VS. 14 FOR DEFENDANT TO COMPLY WITH **COURT'S PRE-TRIAL ORDER (Docket** RESURGENT CAPITAL L.P., LVNV 15 #95) and [Proposed] ORDER FUNDING, LLC, THE BRACHFELD LAW GROUP, P.C., 16 Defendants. HON. EDWARD CHEN 17 18 19 On March 6, 2012, this court issued a Second Amended Case Management and Pretrial 20 Order, requiring the parties meet and confer in person on numerous pre-trial submissions. 21 Plaintiff has made every attempt to comply with the Court's Order. However, Defendants have 22 failed to do so. 23 24 On April 24, 2012, Plaintiff sent an email indicating counsel would be available to meet 25 in person at Plaintiff's counsel's office in San Jose, CA, on Monday April 30, 2012 at 11 a.m. MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING AN ORDER FOR DEFENDANT TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S PRE-TRIAL ORDER (Docket #95) and

- 1

[Proposed] ORDER

## Case3:11-cv-01253-EMC Document110 Filed04/30/12 Page2 of 2

(one Defendant is in Los Angeles and the other San Francisco, so Plaintiff's counsel chose a 1 location in between), 2 On Friday afternoon of April 27, 2012, Brachfeld's counsel indicated he was not 3 available until Thursday, May 3, 2012. However, that is two (2) days after the Pre-Trial 4 submissions are due to the court. Resurgent did not indicate it would not appear for the in-5 6 person meet and confer session. 7 On April 30, 2012, at 11:00 a.m., neither Defendant appeared for the in-person meet and 8 confer session. Plaintiff's counsel telephoned Resurgent's counsel, but was unable to reach him. 9 Plaintiff respectfully request's the Court issue an order requiring Defendants to comply 10 with the Court's earlier pre-trial order and appear in person to meet and confer at Plaintiff's 11 counsel's office, on Tuesday, May 1, 2012, in order for the parties to comply with this Court's 12 earlier order. 13 Respectfully, 14 /s/Paul H. Nathan 15 Paul H. Nathan 16 Counsel for Plaintiff 17 [Proposed] ORDER 18 Plaintiff's motion is granted. 19 Defendants are required to meet and confer in person with Plaintiff on 20 at Plaintiff's counsel's office in San Jose, CA. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Date: 23 24 Hon. Edward Chen 25 U.S. Distric Court Judge MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF REQUESTING AN ORDER FOR DEFENDANT TO COMPLY WITH COURT'S PRE-TRIAL ORDER (Docket #95) and [Proposed] ORDER

- 2